UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,796	11/21/2000	Aravinda Korala	KEN.001	1579	
	7590 03/21/200 CKMON & VOORHE	EXAMINER			
673 S. WASHII	NGTON ST	HAMILTON, LALITA M			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22514		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3691			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		A	oplication No. Applicant(s)					
		0	9/646,796		KORALA, ARAVINDA			
Office Action Summary			xaminer		Art Unit			
		Lá	alita M. Hamiltor	1	3691			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this commun ly	ication appear	rs on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If NO period for Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F R IS LONGER, FROM THE M time may be available under the provisions IONTHS from the mailing date of this com- or reply is specified above, the maximum st within the set or extended period for reply ived by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. atutory period will ap will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS CO  ). In no event, hower  pply and will expire S  se the application to	MMUNICATION wer, may a reply be tim BIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status								
1)⊠ Resno	onsive to communication(s) file	ad on 20 Nove	mher 2007					
•	• • •		tion is non-fina	I				
/—		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	oo arraor Ex p	arto Quayro, 1	000 0.2. 11, 10	0.0.210.			
Disposition of	Claims							
4)⊠ Claim	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34,35,37-69,83-88 and 91-113</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∐ Claim	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34,35,37-69,83-88,and 91-113</u> is/are rejected.							
7)∐ Claim	(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∏ Claim	(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ection requirer	nent.				
Application Pa	pers							
<u></u>	ecification is objected to by th	e Examiner						
•	•		ed or b)□ obie	ected to by the E	Examiner.			
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F risclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	PTO-948)	5) 🔲 (	nterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/646,796 Page 2

Art Unit: 3691

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Request for Continued Examination (RCE)

The RCE filed on November 20, 2007 has been processed. A non-final follows.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 106-109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Eaton (6,003,019), as set forth in the previous Office Action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,796 Page 3

Art Unit: 3691

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 34-35, 37-69, 83-88, 91-105, and 110-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hillson (6,118,860) in view of Eaton.

Hillson discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as set forth in the previous Office Action. Hillson does not disclose different transaction services being provided for different transaction device capabilities or capabilities of a transaction device that are different from the capabilities of a transaction device of the same transition device type in at least one other of the ATMs or kiosks. Eaton teaches a method and corresponding system for transactions in an ATM or kiosk comprising different transaction services being provided for different transaction device capabilities (col.3, line 20 to col.5, line 15) and capabilities of a transaction device that are different from the capabilities of a transaction device of the same transition device type in at least one other of the ATMs or kiosks (col.3, line 20 to col.5, line 15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Eaton within Hillson for the motivation of extending transaction capabilities.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34-35, 37-69, 83-88, 91-113 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lalita M. Hamilton whose telephone number is (571) 272-6743. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kalinowski Alexander can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lalita M Hamilton/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691